MEMORANDUM

TO: All Members of the House of Representatives

FROM: American Civil Liberties Union

Appeal for Justice

Bill of Rights Defense Committee Center for Victims of Torture Common Bond Institute

Council on Americanslamic Relations

Defending Dissent Foundation

DownsizeDC.org, Inc.

Friends Committee on National Legislation

GOProud

Gun Owners of America

Japanese American Citizens League

Just Foreign Policy Liberty Coalition

Maryknoll Office for Global Concerns

National Religious Campaign Against Torture

Physicians for Human Rights

Presbyterian Church (USA) Office of Public Witness

Rabbis for Human RightsNorth America

The Rutherford Institute
Take Back Washington
Tenth Amendment Center
Union for Reform Judaism

Unitarian Universalist Service Committee

United Church of Chris Justice and Witness Ministries

United Methodist Church, General Board of Church and Society

Win Without War

Young Americans for Liberty

DATE: May 14, 2012

RE: Vote "YES" on the Amendment by Representatives Adam Smith (DWA)

and Justin Amash (RMI) That Explicitly Bans Indefinite Detention and

Military Commissions from the United States, and Repeals Section 1022 of

Last Year's National Defense Authorization Act ("NDAA"); House Will Likely Vote on the Smith-Amash Amendment on Thursday or Friday

consideration of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fi amendment will help protect American values and will be an i the rule of law to our nation's detention policies.

- The amendment repeals section 1022 of last year's NDAA. Section 1022 reliquires military to put some civilian suspects into military detention. Although the Obama Administration waived application of section 1022 to many groups of potential suspects, it did not foreclose the possibility of section 1022 being applied to all categories of civilias, including even within the United States itself. The most certain way to avoid mistakes under section 1022 of last year's NDAA is to repeal it.

The SmithAmash amendment is fully consistent with the Constitution, with the Posse Comitatus Act of 1878, and with the Nonetention Act of 1971. It will reinforce the protections that most Americans assume applied do apply—within the United States.

Unfortunately, nothing in this year's NDAA detention provisions, as reported out of the House Armed Services Comittee, affirmsprotection for persons in the United States. The habeas corpus provision in the reported bill does not address any of the concerns raised by your constituents last year. Nothing in last year's NDAA suspended anyone's habeas rightes Habe provides a process for a judge to decide whether a person is being held lawfully, but on its own, it does not require that a judge find that a person must be charged with a crime. The committee reported bill does not address the concern raised bycomstituents—and fueled by several senators urging the military to indefinitely imprison persons in the United States—itsetfno president or government official should ever order the military to put persons in the United States into indefinite detention without charge or trial. The SArinhash amendment addresses this concern; the committee ported version of this year's NDAA does not address it.

We strongly urge you to vote for the Smannash amendment to the NDAA next week. If you have any questins or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact any of our organizations.