NO. 11-20884

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

On Appeal from the United States District Court for theSouthernDistrict of Texas Houston Division, Civil No. 4:11-MC-00223

Brief of the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, the ACLU Foundation of Texas, the Electronic Frontier Foundation, the Center for Democracy and Technology, and the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers as Amici Curiae in Support of Affirmance

	69790
STO .	(19)
#	
	J
jæ	
File	
M	
Refer	
H	
AND	CEN 5
95	

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS

的商品区长的原图

	r Heija l	
		ş çh
	₽	цþ
k		
Habdiş hopdisa		
stributer R	Stanisintendo	
be lipsch	dijikan	
Hyperbeisbilligikiegigte		
ED/ID	/s/ Catherine Crump	
	<u>/s/ Hanni Fakhour</u> y	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		IR I	A	
				B
B	Ø			
				SANNOS (DRASI IGNOS
А	₿ B	g		
В	HHAR CHAR HHAR			iz /SEPP r ASERS D rCEPPE8
C	HANNE HANNE HV 3			inging Septem
D				ited iters
I 114107 Karna Karna		70	Ð	ANNER CHIEFF
А	ijne Higasj Ec	Q		
В	HAM AA MAHAR HED 63			

	С	HAND			Ъ Б	BR	ß
IT		-					KB
	THE DATE	<u>d</u>	R	8			
	А						
	В	SANGRE GEOLOGIS HETEONOR			jær Geregal		
		再	۱. M				
	С	HHHIR HIDI		ti da	illijit 1 B		
6)		Ø					

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Alden Mgmt. Serv,slnc. v. Cha,	Ø	B
Anderson v. Citøf Bessemer Cit	B.	9
Brinegar v. United State	9	
California v. Hodari D, 🗺	9	
Carder v. Continental Airlines, Inc	.,695	ic y
Chandler v. Miller	9	
City of Ontario v. Quo	9 94	
Clark v. Martinez 55		
Doe v. Broderic		

McDonald v. United States

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 7 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

TAN P	CTIA's Semi-Annual Weless Industry Survey
	olution inclation Based Technologies and Services: mm. on Chenstitution, Civil Rights, and Civil on the Judici
Here I	

SO	<u> </u>
\$69	1

Gell Phone Location Data and the Fourth Amendment: A Question of Law, Not Factor Contraction Data and the Fourth Amendment: A

Rules

HE .O

STATEMENT OF AMICI CURIAE

HANG	ten said
aj da	ig 6 enh 9
kitijtiv	ights
HIT	itin 550
i Bupakila	ekksiteste
cijstac()	ko liptijest
Herein fac	ctig HT
Hilfin	rite signed
P	
TEFF	Es a p bn
Filtin	single
opphiallopp By	
edeba	daata pipd
dilpila s	datorin in
	High D
de la companya de la comp	
Hebe	e app
isigo	dojudbivisie
hiji histor	kalisika D

1

şi şi şi np	n hap n	state	
chilip	spelly		
Half 6fa	Shell a		
()	Hild		
h kisteh	palieli m		
je do s je dd	pobadoad		
in Hinger	ka kojn		
Øelseh	HEIBERH CB		
dige reaf	iggisi an	side	
depdyp	aineigh		
Filt			
Kij n		Ricên	
	jata E n		
teripoo	Hyih ofi	dje okan	
HE Night n	stait (jp		
diffe			

STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

itsligsi yn

INTRODUCTION

Heetpig	oolaasyinda	
gohatel	plab	6g6
şiləfəl çila fi Ti		
	byddya	
the filter	ditizev	
ahkahahah	afilap	
ğd ta i	óþiloþ	
glopobli a	ba p ba b a	United
States v. Maynar	(EØ	aff'd sub nom. United
States v. Jone		
ackiphachighhes		
Dia Ky c	ts he tel to iq h	1
goba ab	d pþaðg	
peppa	In re Applic	ation of U.S. for an Order
Directing a Provider of Elec. Co	ommc'aerv. to Di	sclose Records to Go@'t
H956CO Hp	nluðalska	
b \$466 6	delpe	
		United States v. Jones
28 CSQ is such	ta biglab E	

4

ellar boğlav	hôephala		
iguilt)	e Kiilo		
Hytheship	iji ta	ht o	
quip	buch		
ficiti	1 iştri titi		
ttitig			
ppaca6giw	höepbel f		
iga ita 2 sila	abjection	see	
United States v. Jon and the state of the st			
t iz to je	bripstvikt		
adþei gn	eboghb		
ikilip	đđ		
	SECTO	Gelden	
iz Bigerilia	GR ister		
it tig is	civitaiteste		
	ktip	tilizze	
jiii ,			

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 14 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

بنین د. Statutory Background د. Card به بنین د. Card د. Continental Airlines, Inc. کی کی د. کی کی د. کی	der v.
Image: Sector of the sector	der v.
Continental Airlines, Inc. (1993) (Continental Airlines, Inc. (1993) (Continetal Airlines, Inc. (1993) (Continetal Airlin	der v.
tsæf bylgis gulbylsis	
halk Pohinson V Shall Oil Castron	
gelybestisp töben	
Pres ² Erfstepne gehölfe	
ing d bird y b	
inis ja po di s	
社议的	
A tents uniq a pi fo to total a record or other information pertaining to a subscriber to ocustomer of such service (no including the contents of communications) to to the total to a subscriber to ocustomer of such service (no including the contents of communications) to to the total total total total prime total	

² See Ross

bias do Median	₫Ĵ.	
	Işişişi	
şv6 lena iz jel	t ខ្ញុំ ម ព៌ ា	
	bare	4666
Ripp	cjt \$	
fotkin en	, stil	di n
ttbp	See	
Historia	baRls	bijan
signed	äthti S	
baltistje n	aqipp	
	ttidida	

B. The Stored Communications Act Permits A Court To Require A Probable Cause Search Warrant Rather Than An Order Under The § 2703(d) Standard Before Authorizing The Seizure Of Cell Phone Location Data.

Jaco	Receicles	
ich il h h Spi	s igne id	is to gi
pCtptel	attalet	
Eigetei s e	See In re Applic	ation of U.S. for an

Order Directing a Provider of Elec. Conorn Serv. to Disclose Records to Gov't

GIURICE"

Third Circuit Opiniony pet. for

beeli tii (

reh'g en banc denie

fith

Auflits	istrayitisi	
bysch isatof ejs la		shall issue
only if have	ēdbā	
ittelig	ditte	
6 a ivo b ino b d o b		
ilyek a	dabagan	
8633601 A	HIGHS	
	it is the second	
But the	aştıtil	
ftirfaget		
Here and American Construction	<u>ettikin</u>	
ţî litip		

Case: 11-20884	Document: 00511791815	Page: 18	Date Filed: 03/16/2012
----------------	-----------------------	----------	------------------------

hhis0	slabild is e n		
gdyndoth		eyhbabyh	
		itstage 6	
titin	taši	Third Circuit Opinion	ŧð
HERE A			

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815	Page: 19	Date Filed: 03/16/2012
--------------------------------------	----------	------------------------

		₩£	tojkel
dibaiti	See Duncan v. V	Valkeststate Ba	
i si	gitte	dilla	à
ġràp	infacts \$2	Øle fa	ġ
d bilgabe h		See Third	d Circuit Opinio, rø
89			
Effectset		ster Sta	
Brith		gistilip	
	ł	RB	See Third
Circuit Opinion			

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 20 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

Case: 11-20884	Document: 00511791815	Page: 21	Date Filed: 03/16/2012
ið við ignh		eţo	iq a g
iyingibi s	E	б р	iscla
p6pba h			

hji th hit h	any þajjóh
iji shirti i	
S Deal E	in etal in8
G§øe n	ejjebilod
	élitisb
S A	
big Maign	eğhar äba
ផ្នលឆ្នំ ត ផ្ដល់ផ្ដល់ផ្ដល់ផ្ដល់ផ្	

Case: 11-20884	Document: 00511791815	Page: 24	Date Filed: 03/16/2012
----------------	-----------------------	----------	------------------------

ig hnônig la þ

bbn**b#**6

t fa**sfäb**gle

iliyai p

bolgal lainoig

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 25 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

			oeforet gin		
i ştell iş		Elillyn			
	Magi a	8	i şh	egh	
sidepo	id.	Ū.	i)rcla		
trappGa	lata.	ji ly	be effa		
	ta				
fa ból g	ți inh	e	scabjela		
Ligits					
	hanggin	ģ	bistobotan		
isis isisisi	D	ġ	iqbgolp6a		
bav B	igbyoqab, ab				
ijb SØ	ijihe SQ dijihihe das				
eses	adaa	i	biapinhe		
)ła		
D.		rine Of Constitutional A § 2703(d) As Giving	_		
			fritip n		
hgdodyin			gða t ð k ö		
U B		Clark v. Martinez 35,780 is			
Eq:		da			

speciality	ğı l in	tiğ	United		
States v. X-Citement Video,	In\$548944				
þðn					
ei a ej 10 e	aobi	i g o			
in see Third Circuit	OpinionØ₿a9b6®	h			
	nង ដីសង	ļ.			
id' Id. ta fragen		istieptav			
teleşelyeği	Billip				
cilaŭ ti	ld. að h þýlyd				
idythici	ilityi n				
h h e h s a y h d h h 6 h					
ignie: 6 ist					
iby Joneștatată	Đ				
thang h	hitte				
	ilde file				
üh s li ü u	g g o tab B				
the date by the sector of the					

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 28 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

Case:	11-20884	
-------	----------	--

Document: 00511791815

315 Page:

pglipn

bóþilo

pglojaola6

bapbhbi

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 30 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

Def n	Jones 25 Caller Da	id. a 9			
ġj bģ	gini h T				
HPDB:	costicita				
ii ijo	ld. to the laten	t gh b			
taht Bjäh	satifich				
ta Id. Behabeng	t b a	tatola			
b abidophis	Babba				
iat Id. äberphan	Hay				
bhatasa	ld. a9nh Highl				
bu 68yltab	dgbèb				
eit őtűjédin					
pen Id.					
A Stad birght p is den	i Boohjugh p is d i h				
haba Tajandah	a b b g þi				
dist to	Gefaeld				
bappold.ta∯t]	ilah 🎁				
Kathan Ka	atz v. United State	added to,			
n substituted for	ŝ	ld. tø∰k			
bis plyelle	chiaphyn				
sali ggn	bisoby				

Case: 11-20884	Document: 0051179	91815 Page: 32	Date Filed:	03/16/2012
1 - 11		1/ - t - 1		m
topti/	remain	Katz 🙀	ld. a 9	. 986
2111.	10_1			
jilip	ig hyd			
E H A				

ki bi ti k in F			b thip is		
tata pb			o ļātņta		
pap	ld. to Figh				Jones
lykya by pôlin			etajacijaja		
b n	ld. a Sig hpq		isələjə		
kip 6jaav			tilytelejo fi		
Hegil h			e Sjup la		
ta po		entaspih		a bogta v	
Histori a			Katz bi pójo		
stibykynd			Signigation		
6 ign ig 6 i ji			I	d. a 9 ģ 🗛	
ciji n		id. a the state			
indiale					
statutes etjetsh tatelogija tatelogija tatelogija tatelogija tatelogija tatelogija tatelogija tatelogija	b a b appő én	tyrbijkin Aktilijev Ryklan	prijip Honika In In In Huith	þ tav	
ld.a					

h**böjeghak hbh**

isaischillea		tibit init	
hjut		blija	j eh
heologia ng 6		labbig h	
etetetete			
% 66a	dite	h by hk	
isiikup		e jab jn	B BD
én h	United States v. Ka	ŗ 68511 -6	łh
agaba		tipiski y n	
te finti ti		in e t av ta ta ta	
ief jo h	Karo, titti p		
jan fast o		Etchick a	
	ld. #91iga	File	
bafiptC	tiligitet ffe		
papop		jik lati p	
bitthap		ent piscola	
öhhenn		epujaba	
g hi an		ld. ta 3 Ebig	
ballitie n		(Helik	
		ight ía	
Þ	id.t.		

See also Kyllo v. United Statess260

þð

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 36 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

Case: 11-20884	Document: 00511791	1815 Page: 3	7 Date Filed: 03/16/2012	
L FL.				
tajtita		Etite ca		
kalalala		tiltetap		
Third Circuit C	Dpinion, ØFEa 3 Albista	<i>v</i> b		
		٦	Third Circuit Opinion	
Kente		hijthy		
(ilta tiin		it tild pa v		
tien ⁶ Id. taß				
BaghhGalabh	Ļ			
etsfittetitetitet				
gi6bsi h	e gkoPa3badi s			
ykthú ch		şirbel Tsişi		
semi -Annual	Wireless Industry	Surveya 9	CTIA available	's at
	madding	Curve ju		a

taba<u>li</u>tabin

atoy Isiyah

ta**biyahtiyah**ti

þóith		b ko b b	6 10 b		
j.	SeePowell v. McCorm				
fi bbbg	bobbbb				
Filoba		ilbbgd a			
bhi bh e		i pobel geo			
ht oa a o a e		þ ið iðsiða			
jacija ida ls		UUtalskip h			
gypattip		pa Ast	1		
taataista	ре	r sea liac h			
Déhi sel					
iản	United States v.	Gonzal	9569		
overruled on	l on other grounds by nited States v. O'Brie BCB				
Blaic h i ac		bjelybb			
th Ap 6b þ		eațist)đ		
ia fonta et ta n		6 tvip	d f n		
មិង្គសំខា	Brir	negar v. U	nited	States State	
🖗 EDistantan		header	1		
pDe A	t tis ling i	n			
bb.	infra a ligi n		retujo		

		il chach i	
tikakétén		tsateloph	
		fi litit ia	
eleginted		fisc ith	
bodhlais	is in <u>ig</u> h		etabha
at in the second s			

þisna til til svíð a la ci la E

th/218192 46			is is adh	
illysp				ld. a
4 M	Smithain	Miller		

jî bi		ŗ	B (Heiso	per set
ha buyu h			vap 6pnb	
teoblasila		,	biş 6 ja 6ja	
tanialata6ia		sle	jag ible	
lettijje		etites		
TOUGHT			tide big	
gh	Miller al	Smith geb Abb		Third Circuit Opinion
		e pahoju la		
tilitatiji			ringia	
3 Todiğ			t ht's hhep	
saabbepp			colle	ect døb
tii n	ld.			
d hag a h			Miller al	Smith Mab
peloanta depon			Gig #	
digf gi by		Katz		
iji 66	h	Þ	Smit	h 2150 21 5
see also Jon	i ¢SI SCa Ø∳ ¢	b b b b b		
έφ	Katz, o pt a		indipie	
n nganga				
ġ £	Miller d	Smith Handhard	l	

jeon**h**

Jonesphilh

þanb

ja 6 ipinin

byybh

dipsi**b**n

Hereil istehid	beritzia
gd6 bb h	kolipina
obyoda	k Pilibip
KILLIND	H
ķietiei w	ittpin
tight	ekignikh
typpobleI footblap	
upupun be	

thip a f		i de pu	n	
Warshak Manual Manual Marshak				
				Jonestw
ka le pa é n é		ij incha ip		
igo basho		Hajabbis		See
Jones 3 SCa 9 § J		dd d	Ð	
ġġ				
Hodie bigino ja				Miller al
Smithdad p		titet at t	1	
it it it		efitante	•	
kashele lib		Miller al S	Smith	
d knevti d to		h d to h t	đ	
þ ørða	tilig		See, e.g.Smit	th,2184
4 Belesk		the sign	h	
last keska v				

	Case:	11	-20884	
--	-------	----	--------	--

bilipith

bisiba**b**tin

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 48 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

gethana		
	it it is a second second	
أ م	United States v. Paigemin	Ţ I 9
	edigipipetab	
jajja	ipso facto	
appeadiv	લંશીકોએ	United States v.
Allen, CHARGE	startigen.	
6 p n bb		

bilyébke e	bkfibh		
bebogep	indicesisto		
idab Gelod	Hob	/ 6Ba3	
bú ðae	eapab	Third Circuit Opinion	
bailbh h	eth	is op o	
tel	in and		
h Hoffa v. Unite	d State spollhall		
ECHERN	erfil	et de la construcción de la constru La construcción de la construcción d	
Filish	ten		
jachain 859	!	e saita	
bib is bigan	dijable	p 6e	
jut e]	lppin	Third Circuit Opinion, 288	
3 FaadatiC	:	böğb	
in i.e., point	data	United States v. Perrine	
Alling			
	Donaldson v. United States		
theshow	ka Film	id. ta žti n	
y tich	rthiga	SEC v. Jerry T. O'Brien,	
Inc., 363 Autorish an		Miller,	
goppi B	Rep	orters Committee for Freedom of the	

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 51 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

ipeblihi ie

6**66666**0

h Smith bolthid

Hiph				
Har	Smithal Miller. Testogi			
dip.	United i	<u>a</u> n		
plobig	ed § Øineto i			
	<u>jietie</u>			
C. The Compulsory Pro	compulsory Process Cases Do Not Change The Result.			
ge pis b	e p fjyn e			
Helio v				
nda hado	þabþa			
ið figa	sittig			
Sides in the second sec	jaciging is faither			
ja Gipt ellyia	fya hti op			
	atti CBO			
	haisin takh			
phabpb	n belabg n			
ko beph/	bbilőh in			
pandolu	bide dig			
Little				
Siljej n		tation		
highe		brind	th ta	

takithip	istrjaciji	hizingl		
k a pabti n	b po f n	See, e.g.		
Donovan v. Lone Stee	r, İņ osəsəşə ta			
initate	Histori			
aişbis Ce	ja lõis	jup		
	ķirdzis tā			
(titi)	Zurcher v. Stanford	d Dail y State		
ätt a	duces tecum	july		
is juligen	See	Okla. Press Publ'g Co.		
v. Walling				
	i	ktiph deb		
ilgeitjuch	casaba			
dibip őj p	, b gp b	je statistica de la constatistica de la constatist		
fight fa	igen stad			
obdhy	is babob hat			
µр а	pohi	hplyb		
jiti a	dysyation			
E In re Nwamu				

6ap6ptv

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791	B15 Page: 55	Date Filed: 03/16/2012
-----------------------------------	--------------	------------------------

kig hetav

ebepsaa**b**

h**#b**

	ŠE D	H
ittel exte		deckigi
byo ehb i		ëdinROk
		bicsisteps
tot		Salation
bigili 6	echo despe	
jjib	binte	
A. The Magistrate's Findings Of Facts Are Not Before This Court.		
A tsi kbi h		
ja se 		
digd	ilykC	See Magistrate Judge Opinian
Fp218 (61)	į	high
slabilishel	ġ	bilenila 6ta
jiti ka n	8 (3) fb	beji h
<u>áhepbillyhai</u>		See () II
godbaT		երերեցո
HEADE		

B. Since, As The Government Has Essentially Conceded, The Federal Rules Of Evidence Do Not Apply To § 2703(d) Proceedings, The Magistrate Judge's "Findings of Facts" Did Not Violate FRE 201's "Reasonable Dispute" Requirement.

lef holyodh	bilojijab
	system Ru His
figh o	HHRE
dhinteth	See

Ø

Case: 11-20884 Document: 00511791815 Page: 59 Date Filed: 03/16/2012

 photel
 See, e.g., In re Applicatio of U.S. for an Order: (1)

 Authorizing Use of a Pen Register allidap and Trace Device; (2) Authorizing

 Release of Subscriber and Other Info.; (43)dAuthorizing Disclosure of Location

 Based Serv

 Based Serv

 Image: A Frazier, h E for the heat of the h

(kajako jarjujda). (kaja jarjujda). (kaja jarjujda).

by Frazier, ØBa3 hahid \$ 100 \$ 60 000 \$ 000 \$ 000 \$ 000 \$ 000 \$

C.	Even If This Court Decides To Review The "Findings of Facts," The Magistrate Judge Did Not Commit Clear Error.		
		ieteļatisja	
igititis			
(iii)			
	1 1616CR D	EEDHO	
	gliih	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
testist.	ign		
fil	Seetag	Taylor v. Charter Med. Corp	
-29.2	38 2 T D 2822d9g e ((t h e	FRE 3 Tw [(the prope	

2	
Er ingeliel is fin	ទ ធ្វី ផ្ញី ០ ឆ្
	GARENE
Magistrate Judge Opinio	Fizho Basebly
bigida	i dib Da E
Sþá s	y C HOM RA
ijiapolg	ld. a 3 abga
polyinkilj6a	

ð far ha he g	teriterite ten
Li HUR	Bjulign
sobjectphd	Seeta Bas I
gbblj6lie	istra@ada0
Gibylitav	Seetar
	njittinit
kip iten	
littere	diget the

	Hightpun /	
lythyk a	kticityn	
http:	nipin Kyllo, 566	
Bjæfð		
H 6Bshhad	hbbpb n	
hhi ehe	Ббыдоы	
pepbel F	ā id s o in	
	CONCLUSION	
i ph d b b g 6 b b		
httob tim	sþ n	
in the second	sittente	
ġþü	Joneș 2 S Ca 9 și J	
ف	United States v. Di Rass	
stip a	ahsofe ekelyjen	
ity bh	tivel thep	
bigin kip b	iat hit the second s	
osipjadė	ebbinaino	
₫ ₩ ₿		

<u>s</u> Catherine Crump
φû.
19
*-
<u>s</u> Hanni Fakhoury
49
🗴 Lisa Graybill
Jan State St
NGRA NGRAK
NAR NARK HAD
MARK MARK MARK
NAR NARK HAD
Image: Cynthia E. Orr
Image: state stat
S Cynthia E. Orr
MK MK MK MK MK MK MK MK MK MK MK MK MK M
S Cynthia E. Orr
MSK MSK MSK MSK MSK MSK MSK MSK
S Cynthia E. Orr

báð

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

1	Hightigo Distain Hightigo	AFARTA Article Article Article
2	Hitte Attelen Hitteln Killen	ightige constant inter i i i i i i i i i i i i i
3	Heijiel	isitu h
4	a and a second	(1) jet
5	tijska inger Ottjajelin	chiltre signifi

Ś	Catherine Crump
Eff i	
đĐ	ſ

Ðø

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Instant		
CALIE APAPATA		
riji n		
İştişi h	લાંગિય	
	<u>s</u> Catherine Crump	
	if fi	

EDAD