

## A er c n C L er es n on

## On

The Geo oc on Pr cy nd  $\frac{u}{r}$  r e nce Ac

Before he  $\overset{\textbf{d}}{\overset{\textbf{s}}}$  se  $\overset{\textbf{u}}{\overset{\textbf{d}}}$  c  $\overset{\textbf{ry}}{\overset{\textbf{u}}{\overset{\textbf{v}}}}$  co ee on Cr e Terror s nd

II. Current Technologies Allow for Detailed Tracking of Americans' Movements.

The esgener on of ce<sup>ų</sup> roers no ycoern ress s <sup>ų</sup> nne <sup>ų</sup> y specfcrod y pr<sup>ų</sup> r h s or c nfor on nd he eng h of e h s nfor on s s ored depend on he

n *nited St tes ones* C he <sup>u</sup> pre e C <sup>o</sup> r he d h F <sup>o</sup> r h A end en se rch oc <sup>o</sup> r r r ed <sup>c</sup> hen he go ern ones C oo yor conderring de o onso ed Chef U dge oz ns of he Color for Appe s for he Nnh Crole h se or ed on h s cr c po n

don hn h os peopenhened es & d gree hhe p ne h so eone ho e eshs c r p r & nhs dr e y & s de he door of h s ho e n es peope o cr 4 nder nd ch de ce h r c he ehc e se ery o e en nd r ns h nfor on o o s r ngers There s so e h ng creepy nd n A er c n & & ch c ndes ne nd nderh nded eh or To hose of s ho h e ed 4 nder o r n reg e here s n eer e fee cr n s nor o e he ho e s fe h en for eg c es s done so h n o ec e nd gh e gh he need o n de h pr cy n order o enforce he The r gh of pr cy s dee ed oo prec & s o en t s o he d scre on of hose hose o s he de ec on of cr e nd he rres of cr n s Po er s he dy h ng nd h s ory sho s h he po ce c ng on he r o n c nno e t s ed

## McDon d nited St tes

The rr n nd pro  $e c^{U}$  se red<sup>U</sup> re en s re espec y por n here g en he e r ord n ry n <sup>H</sup> s eness of odern d y e e c ron c <sup>U</sup> r e n ce hd<sup>U</sup> hese red<sup>U</sup> re en s he o cos of co e c ng nd s or ng geo o c on nfor on d<sup>U</sup> d per he po ce o con <sup>H</sup> d<sup>U</sup> s y r c ny dr er nd ce phone<sup>U</sup> ser

r

The rr n red re en poses no gre u rden on he s e nder he GP Ac o n ng rr n s for geo oc on nfor on d d e e en ess u rdenso e h no n ng he for e ephone re ps nd he e pec on of pr cy p c ed n p c ng c s on d c phone s no gre er h n he e pec on h he s e no sen rr n on or c zen s e ery o e en con d d s y for on hs on end

n dd on congress on c on o red re pro  $ec^{U}$  se rr n for oc on r c ng en oys despre d & ppor fro co p n es nd org n z ons fro cross he po c spec  $\Bbbk$  nc<sup>U</sup> d ng A zon he A er c n L r ry Assoc on A er c ns for T efor A T T he C p gn for L er y C zens Ag ns Go ern en s e he Co pe e En erpr se ns <sup>U</sup> e he Cen er for De ocr cy nd Techno ogy Const er Ac on eB y F ce oo Freedo or s Goog e P BM he nfor on Techno ogy no on Fold nd on ne he L er y Co on he Ne sp per 5,500 pages of documents from over 200 local latoreement agencies. The responses show that while cell phone tracking is routine, fagencies consistently obtain judicial warrants. The overwhelming majority of the morent 200 law enforcement agencies that provided documents engaged in at least softpehoene tracking. Most law enforcement agencies explained that they trackpotenthes to investigate crimes. Some said they tracked cell phones only in emergen tracking to locate a missing person. Only ten said they have never tracked potential.

Many law enforcement agencies track cell phonets of using the phone text of the phone companies, is approximately that Raleigh, N.C. tracks hundreds of cell phones a year. The practice isosomon that cell phone companies have manuals for police explaining what data the particles store, how much they charge police to access that data, and what officers the edd to get it.

Most law enforcement agencies do not obtain was rantrack cell phones, and the legal standards used vary widely. For example ce in Lincoln, Neb obtain GPS location data on telephones without demonstrating pable cause. Police in Wilson County, N.C. obtain historical cell tracking data we it is "relevant and material" to an ongoing investigation, a standard lower than probables. Yet some police departments do protect privacy by obtaining was ranaised upon probable cause when tracking cell phones. For example, police in the tracking of Hawaii, Wichita, and Lexington, Ky. demonstrate probable cause and to lataviarrant when tracking cell phones. If these police departments can prote to public safety and privacy by meeting the warrant and probable cause requirements, threfy sother agencies can as well.

Moreover, it is not just state and local law e78439()]TJ -213.9()-0.479431(o)-0.9565557(e)

without eroding the privacy rights of innocent Anicens. We urge the committee to support H.R. 2168 and report it favorably fro(a)3.1572ethep

io(a)3.1572eittee